Specialized Search Tools for Clinical Decision Support Mahsa Rouzbahman, Mark Chignell

Background and Problem Statement

- Appropriate information is critical for clinical decision making.
- Physicians frequently need to carry out search as part of clinical decision making.
- Searching current Electronic Health Records (EHR) is difficult and physicians tend to review individual records manually.
- As EHR data gets larger, clinicians have to dedicate more effort and time to deal with these massive data sets.
- The utilization of generalized search engines in Emergency Departments (EDs) is controversial due to the presence of unreliable information and the associated possibility of decision errors.
- Specialized search tools for clinical decision support are required.



Can search processes be more effectively integrated within clinical decision making?

How to provide meaningful clinical decision support for physicians based on summaries of similar patients and search process?

Research Goals

- 1- Enumeration of requirements for patients information systems in EDs.
- 2- Developing a physician-centered model of clinically-motivated search.
- 3- Designing a medium-fidelity prototype clinically-motivated search system.
- 4- Conducting user testing and focus groups to evaluate the proposed search system.
- 5- Eliminating potential bugs and redesign the prototypes.
- 6- Implementing the concept of similar patients in the search process. (Summaries of similar patients and their treatments and outcomes might be useful for physicians).
- 7- Measuring how willing physicians will be to have an implicit search process rather than an explicit process under their direct control.

Challenge

Hospitals do not provide easy access to electronic health records, whether due to policy or to limitations in the technology.

mrouz@mie.utoronto.ca, chignell@mie.utoronto.ca



Search in Electronic **Medical Records** Search for more Information Search in Web **Specific** Background Questions Question Generalized **Specialized** Search Engines Search Engines Google UpToDate Pub medicine **Project Phases Phase I: Requirement Analysis Objectives:** an emergency department. **Methodology**: Questionnaires Interviews

Objectives:

The objective in this phase will be to take the learnings from Phase one and use them to inform the design of a clinically motivated search system.

Methodology: Paper and pencil prototyping and the development of wireframes.

Two initial prototypes will be developed: • The first incorporating a more standalone search functionality.

 The second involving search features that are less obtrusive, and integrated into the workflow.



Possible Search Usage in EDs





The objective in this phase is to determine when and how search is useful In the context of clinical decision making in

- Observational studies of emergency room physicians

Phase II: Design and Prototyping



Phase III: Iterative Design /User Testing

Objectives:

- process.

Methodology:



Mechanical and Industrial Engineering UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



Interactive MediaLab

Search User Interface Design

Testing the initial prototypes.

Establishing the role of search as either a separate and explicit feature or else an integrated (and behind-the-scenes)



- establishing its level of usability and acceptability for physicians.

 Using a standard iterative design process and successive rounds of prototyping and testing.

